Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/926,072	OKAMURA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Katarzyna Wyrozebski Lee	1714
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) Katarzyna Wyrozebski Lee.	(3)	
(2) Robert Carpenter.	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>13 April 2004</u>	Time: <u>1600</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ N If Yes, provide a brief description:	Applicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: rejections of record		
Claims discussed: pending claims		
Prior art documents discussed: prior art of record	•	
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE See Continuation Sheet	GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	3 DISCUSSED:
Part III.		·
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sequirectly resulted in the allowance of the applicate of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sequid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sequired 	ion. The examiner will provide a written a written are record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
	•	
•		
,		
MM/		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Apr	oplicant/Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)

Application No. 09/926,072

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: discussed were the solvents in the compositon of the present invention and applicability of the prior art. After careful reconsideration of the claims and the prior art applied againts present claims. In view of the reconsiderations the examiner requested authorization of examiner's amendment that would cancel claim 10 and change the dependency of claim 12 from 10 to 5. Claim 10 stated that the composition contained organic solvents which limitation was already part of the claim 5. The applicants representative authorized examiner's amendment.